

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,469	11/12/2003	Alicia Rodriguez-Jorge	1.189.02 6743	
7590 11/30/2005		EXAMINER		
MALLOY & MALLOY, P.A. Historic Coral Way			PATEL, TAJASH D	
2800 S.W. Third Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Miami, FL 33129			3765	
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/706,469	RODRIGUEZ-JORGE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tejash D. Patel	3765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 N 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the second seco	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,7-25 and 29-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6 and 26-28 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application ority documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/18/04</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/706,469

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7-10, 19, 29-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tsang (US 3,745,614).

Tsang discloses a necktie assembly including a base member (14) and a face member disposed in at least partially overlying and a movably interconnection to the base member with a partially defined opening formed between the base and the face members as shown in figure 5. Further, an attachment mechanism (62) is partially interconnected in an operable orientation to the base member to an inner surface thereof that is structured to engage an overlying (52) and an underlying (50) portion of a necktie relative to a partial knot that passes through the opening as shown in figures 8, 9, and 10. Also, the base member forms a convex outer surface as shown in figure 5 and the base member and the face member are interconnected by a tongue and groove fastener (32). Further, the face member defines a substantially planar outer surface as shown in figure 10.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,469 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 11-15, 20-25 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsang in view of Gideon (US 3,964,105). Tsang discloses the invention as set forth above except for showing the face member having decorative ornaments thereon with the attachment mechanism having a biasing element.

Gideon discloses necktie assembly including a face member having an attachment mechanism (52) with a biasing element (78) that is disposable between an uncompressed and compressed configurations, col. 2, lines 35-38 and as shown in figures 3 and 5.

Further, the face member has decorative ornaments such as jewels/gemstones on an outer surface (22), col. 1, lines 30-33 and lines 65-68.

With regard to claims 11-15, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the face member of Tsang with a decorative ornaments such as gemstones, etc as a matter of design choice or depending on the end use thereof.

Further, with regard to claims 20-25 and 36, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to substitute the attachment mechanism of Tsang with an attachment member having a biasing element as an alternative but equivalent means of securing a portion of the necktie as known in the art or depending on the particular end application thereof.

5. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsang in

view of Dorkin (US 5,216,757). Tsang discloses the invention as set forth above except for

showing an auxiliary face plate attached to the face member.

Dorkin discloses a necktie assembly including a material which resembles a tie (20) that

is attached to an outer surface (124) of a face member, col. 7, lines 17-20.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to

provide the face member of Tsang with an auxiliary face plate attached to the face member as

taught by Dorkin as a matter of design choice or depending on the end use thereof. Furthermore,

it would have been obvious to substitute the face member of the necktie assembly of Tsang when

viewed with Dorkin having a material which resembles a tie with any desired ornaments, etc. as

required for a particular application thereof.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 6 and 26-28 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tejash Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4993. The fax phone number for this group is (571) 273-8300.

November 23, 2005

TEJASH PATEL PRIMARY EXAMINER